

JURY DELIBERATING FATE OF ANDREWS

Given Choice of Three Possible Verdicts

The five-man jury at the perjury trial of former Jefferson Parish Ass't Dist. Atty. Dean A. Andrews retired at 10:45 p.m. Sunday to consider verdicts on five counts of perjury involving testimony before the Orleans Parish Grand Jury.

The trial, which went into its fifth day Sunday, was recessed at 5 p.m. for supper and so that Criminal District Court Judge Frank J. Shea could prepare the charge to the jury.

The trial was to reconvene at 9 a.m. but extra time was taken for the charge to be typed and for consultation with defense and state attorneys on legal points.

Shea told the jury that one of three verdicts could be returned on each count: Guilty as charged, guilty of attempted perjury or not guilty.

OBJECTION MADE

Just prior to the jury's retirement, defense attorney Cecil Burroughs objected to six paragraphs of Judge Shea's charge and asked for a mistrial, which was denied. Burroughs said the judge failed to inform the jury of the distinctions between perjury through false statements and perjury through contradictory statements.

During Saturday's testimony, Burroughs contended that said perjury through contradictory statements didn't apply in Andrews' case. However, in his charge, he said that both false statements and contradictory statements constitute perjury, thereby implying that the two offenses were of equal nature.

In Louisiana revised criminal perjury through false statements is punishable while perjury

(Cont. in Social, Page 2, Col. 1)

Continued from Page 1

five years imprisonment and fine.

Alcock asked Davis: "Have you ever heard of a person

evidence carrier, no Spanish name Clay Bertrand?"

Burroughs said Alcoo Davis replied: "Not until this

place added perjury by false investigation."

When asked if he ever call-

ed on the state and perjury of Dean Andrews and used

by contradictory statements

the name Clay Bertrand,

shifts the burden to the defense," Davis said no.

Alcock asked Davis: "Have you heard he had known a man

he was selling the truth Andrews for 18 or 20 years and he continually knew that he

when he made the statements first met him in a bar on St. Matthew,

following Shea's 25 minute Peier and Bourton where he was

charge, the day before he started working as a bartender," when calling the witness rec-

deliberations.

He also testified that Andrews had testimony.

Prior to the 5 p.m. recess came into the same bar quite

Harry A. Burroughs, another on a few times after that,

juror so members can get

from attorney and the brother.

A short time later Burroughs

said the state again asked Criminal District

Judge Frank J. Shea to give the jury the full

widely and to prove the directed verdict of acquittal on

matters of the Grand Jury at five counts of perjury on

testimony.

He also testified that District attorney

John L. Adams said he ruled the tape

Att'y James L. Adcox said proved elements of "corruption" be deleted but added "in

Andrews' conferring Grand Jury" and "mishandling" in not going witness that trial while

curtis testimony and other public events.

He also testified that he was here to be

Judge Shea refused.

The defense delivered its final argument the defense

summation to the jury late Sunday night tested no case after

an afternoon after the man in the jury listened intently to a

cross examination by Clay Settles of Andrews' testimony to

brandish the knife and denied the Grand Jury which included

many who stayed after the de-

position and Judge Shea argued

whether to proceed with the

DAVIS ON STAND.

After a short recess, the defense

James L. Davis, a French Quarter bar owner, was called after the cross examination of Andrews' testimony.

He was asked if he had been present at the grand jury hearing when an instantan-

eous perjury charge was filed against Andrews.

He said he had been present at the grand jury hearing when an instantan-

eous perjury charge was filed against Andrews.

He was asked if he had been present at the grand jury hearing when an instantan-

eous perjury charge was filed against Andrews.

He was asked if he had been present at the grand jury hearing when an instantan-

eous perjury charge was filed against Andrews.

He was asked if he had been present at the grand jury hearing when an instantan-

eous perjury charge was filed against Andrews.

He was asked if he had been present at the grand jury hearing when an instantan-

eous perjury charge was filed against Andrews.

He was asked if he had been present at the grand jury hearing when an instantan-

eous perjury charge was filed against Andrews.

He was asked if he had been present at the grand jury hearing when an instantan-

eous perjury charge was filed against Andrews.

He was asked if he had been present at the grand jury hearing when an instantan-

eous perjury charge was filed against Andrews.

He was asked if he had been present at the grand jury hearing when an instantan-

eous perjury charge was filed against Andrews.

He was asked if he had been present at the grand jury hearing when an instantan-

eous perjury charge was filed against Andrews.

He was asked if he had been present at the grand jury hearing when an instantan-

eous perjury charge was filed against Andrews.

He was asked if he had been present at the grand jury hearing when an instantan-

eous perjury charge was filed against Andrews.

He was asked if he had been present at the grand jury hearing when an instantan-

eous perjury charge was filed against Andrews.

He was asked if he had been present at the grand jury hearing when an instantan-

eous perjury charge was filed against Andrews.

He was asked if he had been present at the grand jury hearing when an instantan-

eous perjury charge was filed against Andrews.

He was asked if he had been present at the grand jury hearing when an instantan-

eous perjury charge was filed against Andrews.

He was asked if he had been present at the grand jury hearing when an instantan-

eous perjury charge was filed against Andrews.

He was asked if he had been present at the grand jury hearing when an instantan-

eous perjury charge was filed against Andrews.

He was asked if he had been present at the grand jury hearing when an instantan-

eous perjury charge was filed against Andrews.

He was asked if he had been present at the grand jury hearing when an instantan-

eous perjury charge was filed against Andrews.

He was asked if he had been present at the grand jury hearing when an instantan-

eous perjury charge was filed against Andrews.

He was asked if he had been present at the grand jury hearing when an instantan-

eous perjury charge was filed against Andrews.

He was asked if he had been present at the grand jury hearing when an instantan-

eous perjury charge was filed against Andrews.

He was asked if he had been present at the grand jury hearing when an instantan-

eous perjury charge was filed against Andrews.

He was asked if he had been present at the grand jury hearing when an instantan-

eous perjury charge was filed against Andrews.

He was asked if he had been present at the grand jury hearing when an instantan-

eous perjury charge was filed against Andrews.

He was asked if he had been present at the grand jury hearing when an instantan-

eous perjury charge was filed against Andrews.

He was asked if he had been present at the grand jury hearing when an instantan-

eous perjury charge was filed against Andrews.

He was asked if he had been present at the grand jury hearing when an instantan-

eous perjury charge was filed against Andrews.

He was asked if he had been present at the grand jury hearing when an instantan-

eous perjury charge was filed against Andrews.

He was asked if he had been present at the grand jury hearing when an instantan-

eous perjury charge was filed against Andrews.

He was asked if he had been present at the grand jury hearing when an instantan-

eous perjury charge was filed against Andrews.

He was asked if he had been present at the grand jury hearing when an instantan-

eous perjury charge was filed against Andrews.

He was asked if he had been present at the grand jury hearing when an instantan-

eous perjury charge was filed against Andrews.

He was asked if he had been present at the grand jury hearing when an instantan-

eous perjury charge was filed against Andrews.

He was asked if he had been present at the grand jury hearing when an instantan-

eous perjury charge was filed against Andrews.

He was asked if he had been present at the grand jury hearing when an instantan-

eous perjury charge was filed against Andrews.

He was asked if he had been present at the grand jury hearing when an instantan-

eous perjury charge was filed against Andrews.

He was asked if he had been present at the grand jury hearing when an instantan-

eous perjury charge was filed against Andrews.

He was asked if he had been present at the grand jury hearing when an instantan-

eous perjury charge was filed against Andrews.

He was asked if he had been present at the grand jury hearing when an instantan-

eous perjury charge was filed against Andrews.

He was asked if he had been present at the grand jury hearing when an instantan-

eous perjury charge was filed against Andrews.

He was asked if he had been present at the grand jury hearing when an instantan-

eous perjury charge was filed against Andrews.

He was asked if he had been present at the grand jury hearing when an instantan-

eous perjury charge was filed against Andrews.

He was asked if he had been present at the grand jury hearing when an instantan-

eous perjury charge was filed against Andrews.

He was asked if he had been present at the grand jury hearing when an instantan-

eous perjury charge was filed against Andrews.

He was asked if he had been present at the grand jury hearing when an instantan-

eous perjury charge was filed against Andrews.

He was asked if he had been present at the grand jury hearing when an instantan-

eous perjury charge was filed against Andrews.

He was asked if he had been present at the grand jury hearing when an instantan-

eous perjury charge was filed against Andrews.

He was asked if he had been present at the grand jury hearing when an instantan-

eous perjury charge was filed against Andrews.

He was asked if he had been present at the grand jury hearing when an instantan-

eous perjury charge was filed against Andrews.

He was asked if he had been present at the grand jury hearing when an instantan-

eous perjury charge was filed against Andrews.

He was asked if he had been present at the grand jury hearing when an instantan-

eous perjury charge was filed against Andrews.

He was asked if he had been present at the grand jury hearing when an instantan-

eous perjury charge was filed against Andrews.

He was asked if he had been present at the grand jury hearing when an instantan-

eous perjury charge was filed against Andrews.

He was asked if he had been present at the grand jury hearing when an instantan-

eous perjury charge was filed against Andrews.

He was asked if he had been present at the grand jury hearing when an instantan-

eous perjury charge was filed against Andrews.

He was asked if he had been present at the grand jury hearing when an instantan-

eous perjury charge was filed against Andrews.

He was asked if he had been present at the grand jury hearing when an instantan-

eous perjury charge was filed against Andrews.

He was asked if he had been present at the grand jury hearing when an instantan-

eous perjury charge was filed against Andrews.

He was asked if he had been present at the grand jury hearing when an instantan-

eous perjury charge was filed against Andrews.

He was asked if he had been present at the grand jury hearing when an instantan-

eous perjury charge was filed against Andrews.

He was asked if he had been present at the grand jury hearing when an instantan-

eous perjury charge was filed against Andrews.

He was asked if he had been present at the grand jury hearing when an instantan-

eous perjury charge was filed against Andrews.

He was asked if he had been present at the grand jury hearing when an instantan-

eous perjury charge was filed against Andrews.

He was asked if he had been present at the grand jury hearing when an instantan-

eous perjury charge was filed against Andrews.

He was asked if he had been present at the grand jury hearing when an instantan-

eous perjury charge was filed against Andrews.

He was asked if he had been present at the grand jury hearing when an instantan-

eous perjury charge was filed against Andrews.

He was asked if he had been present at the grand jury hearing when an instantan-

eous perjury charge was filed against Andrews.

He was asked if he had been present at the grand jury hearing when an instantan-

eous perjury charge was filed against Andrews.

He was asked if he had been present at the grand jury hearing when an instantan-

eous perjury charge was filed against Andrews.

He was asked if he had been present at the grand jury hearing when an instantan-

eous perjury charge was filed against Andrews.

He was asked if he had been present at the grand jury hearing when an instantan-

eous perjury charge was filed against Andrews.

He was asked if he had been present at the grand jury hearing when an instantan-

eous perjury charge was filed against Andrews.

He was asked if he had been present at the grand jury hearing when an instantan-

eous perjury charge was filed against Andrews.

He was asked if he had been present at the grand jury hearing when an instantan-

eous perjury charge was filed against Andrews.

He was asked if he had been present at the grand jury hearing when an instantan-

eous perjury charge was filed against Andrews.

He was asked if he had been present at the grand jury hearing when an instantan-

eous perjury charge was filed against Andrews.

He was asked if he had been present at the grand jury hearing when an instantan-

eous perjury charge was filed against Andrews.

He was asked if he had been present at the grand jury hearing when an instantan-

eous perjury charge was filed against Andrews.

He was asked if he had been present at the grand jury hearing when an instantan-

eous perjury charge was filed against Andrews.

He was asked if he had been present at the grand jury hearing when an instantan-

eous perjury charge was filed against Andrews.

He was asked if he had been present at the grand jury hearing when an instantan-

eous perjury charge was filed against Andrews.

He was asked if he had been present at the grand jury hearing when an instantan-

eous perjury charge was filed against Andrews.

He was asked if he had been present at the grand jury hearing when an instantan-

eous perjury charge was filed against Andrews.

He was asked if he had been present at the grand jury hearing when an instantan-

eous perjury charge was filed against Andrews.

He was asked if he had been present at the grand jury hearing when an instantan-

eous perjury charge was filed against Andrews.

He was asked if he had been present at the grand jury hearing when an instantan-

eous perjury charge was filed against Andrews.

He was asked if he had been present at the grand jury hearing when an instantan-

eous perjury charge was filed against Andrews.

He was asked if he had been present at the grand jury hearing when an instantan-

eous perjury charge was filed against Andrews.

He was asked if he had been present at the grand jury hearing when an instantan-

eous perjury charge was filed against Andrews.

He was asked if he

days. Dean Andrew got in touch. What does he know?" said Andrews.

"Andrews told me Andrews doesn't know anything but has been hell — me for weeks now and I'm going to get him, for fuck sake or something like that." Andrews was disturbed that since they had been talking

The long, silent run and hour and a half contained continuing questioning by Andrew. Rich and Burns at the same time took two different approaches. Andrews, II, a lawyer, was asked about the time he first met Guy Fawcett, a man the state contends is actually Guy L. Shaw, the wealthy New Orleans businessman charged with conspiring to assassinate President Kennedy.

"You expect me to remember how about things that happened in 1963? There was nothing of any kind back then in my memory," he said, shrugging his shoulders. That's what Andrews said.

Andrews was questioned about the day Lee Harvey Oswald was apprehended at the Warrenton station of President Kennedy's assassin, Wallace J. LeMoyne. He could only recall that some time after the

murder, from my office," answered Andrews, a short, quiet man who wears sunglasses and talks five.

"Of all the cities he has to talk to, man, I've been bugged since '64 — when I told the Warren Commission about it, by experts, quacks, perps, and writers and reporters, and the media and you know that I don't make no bones or come clean with all these people, although I really don't know a lot of whom they talk. But now we're getting serious."

Burns asked Andrews about a statement he made that he didn't believe Oswald shot President Kennedy.

"Andrews, "That was just my opinion," he said. "I mean, I don't know if you know, but I mean, I don't know if the president —

"Andrews interrupted. "Do you?" Oh, man, don't be ridiculous. If I knew I would have put down like a thousand pound elephant like this country, too, you know."

A long silence followed. Burns was still interested, even though he had already heard the story before. "Well, I think you're right," he said.